International Journal of Science and Engineering Applications
Volume 14-Issue 07, 07 – 12, 2025, ISSN:- 2319 - 7560
DOI: 10.7753/IJSEA1407.1002

Comparative Analysis of IMU and Camera-Based Motion Detection Systems in Smart University Campuses

Thu Thủy Hoàng
Italian Language and Culture
and International Mobility
Center
Hanoi University Vietnam

Abstract: The integration of smart sensing technologies is revolutionizing modern university campuses by enabling real-time motion tracking, adaptive services, and enhanced security. This paper presents a comparative analysis of two prominent motion detection technologies, including Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) sensors and camera-based motion detection systems at the smart university campuses. IMU sensors, often embedded in wearables, offer lightweight, power-efficient tracking of personal movements and physiological states, while camera-based systems provide extensive spatial awareness and behavior interpretation through video analytics and artificial intelligence. The study explores their sensing principles, deployment strategies, data processing requirements, and application domains such as classroom engagement, campus navigation, facility automation, and security. Advantages, limitations, and privacy concerns are discussed in depth. By evaluating their roles individually and in combination, the paper outlines how a hybrid sensor approach can create more responsive, inclusive, and intelligent campus environments.

Keywords: smart university, IMU sensors, camera-based monitoring, motion detection.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of the smart campus has emerged as a transformative vision for modern higher education, enhancing learning environments, operational efficiency, student well-being, and institutional sustainability with advanced technologies [1-5]. At the core of this transformation is the deployment of smart sensors and intelligent systems [6, 7] that enable continuous data collection, real-time analytics, and adaptive responses to dynamic campus conditions. Among the diverse range of technologies being integrated into smart-university infrastructures, motion detection systems, particularly those based on Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) sensors [8-12] and camera-based computer vision [13-15], playing a critical role in enabling intelligent interaction with physical spaces and people.

Motion detection [16] is fundamental to a wide variety of smart campus applications, including classroom automation, space utilization monitoring, behavioral analytics, indoor navigation, safety protocols, and student engagement analysis. Two primary technologies have gained significant traction in this domain. IMU sensors [17, 18], typically embedded in mobile devices or wearables, consist of accelerometers, gyroscopes, and sometimes magnetometers that measure motion parameters such as acceleration, orientation, and angular velocity. They offer a lightweight, power-efficient, and privacy-friendly solution for monitoring individual-level movements, which is particularly useful in student-centered applications like physical activity recognition, gesture-controlled interfaces, or health monitoring.

In contrast, camera-based motion detection systems use image and video data to analyze motion within physical spaces. These systems leverage computer vision and AI algorithms [19-21] to detect human presence, track behaviors, and interpret complex activities across multiple subjects and larger environments. Their ability to provide rich contextual and visual information makes them well-suited for use cases such as classroom occupancy detection, crowd flow analysis, campus security, and automated attendance tracking [22]. However, the visual nature of these systems raises important challenges related to privacy, ethical use, lighting conditions, and computational resource requirements.

This paper aims to conduct a comprehensive comparison between IMU sensors and camera-based motion detection technologies in the context of smart university campuses. It explores their sensing principles, technical characteristics, implementation scenarios, advantages, and limitations. Special attention is given to their roles in enhancing the responsiveness and adaptability of educational environments while addressing critical concerns such as data privacy and infrastructure requirements. Through this analysis, the paper provides insights into how these two technologies—individually and in combination—can contribute to more intelligent, inclusive, and data-driven university ecosystems.

2. IMU SENSORS

IMU sensors are sophisticated devices that integrate multiple motion sensing components: accelerometers [23], gyroscopes [24], and often magnetometers [25] into a single compact unit. Accelerometers measure linear acceleration forces, gyroscopes detect angular velocity or rotational motion, and magnetometers sense magnetic field orientation to assist with heading or compass information. Together, these sensors provide continuous, real-time data about an object’s or individual’s movement, orientation, and velocity in three-dimensional space.

IMUs operate by sensing inertial forces acting on the device. Accelerometers detect acceleration caused by movement or gravity, while gyroscopes measure rotational changes around one or more axes. When combined through sensor fusion algorithms, such as Kalman filters or complementary filters, IMUs can yield highly accurate estimates of position, orientation (pitch, roll, yaw), and velocity. This process makes IMUs uniquely suited for capturing dynamic motion patterns, even in environments where external references like GPS signals are unavailable or unreliable, such as indoors.

2.1 Applications in Smart University Campuses

Within the smart campus ecosystem, IMU sensors are employed predominantly through wearable devices and mobile platforms, offering granular insights into human movement and activity. Some key applications include:

- Student Engagement and Activity Monitoring: Wearable IMU devices can monitor students' physical activity levels and postures during classes or laboratory sessions. For example, data on subtle movements and shifts in body position can indicate attention, fatigue, or restlessness, which may inform adaptive teaching approaches or personalized feedback systems.

- Augmented and Virtual Reality (AR/VR) Learning: IMUs are fundamental in AR/VR headsets and controllers used in immersive educational experiences. They enable precise tracking of head and hand movements, allowing students to interact naturally with virtual content, enhancing engagement and comprehension in subjects such as anatomy, engineering, or architecture.

- Health and Safety Monitoring: IMUs embedded in wearables can detect falls or abnormal movement patterns, which is particularly valuable in campus health services or sports facilities. Early detection of such events facilitates timely assistance, contributing to safer campus environments.

- Navigation and Localization: Although GPS is commonly used outdoors, IMUs provide crucial dead reckoning capabilities indoors, where GPS signals are weak or absent. By integrating IMU data with other indoor positioning systems (e.g., Wi-Fi, Bluetooth beacons), smart campuses can offer reliable navigation aids for students, staff, and visitors, improving accessibility and spatial orientation.

2.2 Advantages of IMU Sensors

IMUs provide rapid, accurate measurements of movement and orientation, enabling real-time feedback and interaction. Their small size allows integration into wearable devices, smartphones, and handheld instruments without compromising user comfort. IMUs do not rely on external infrastructure like cameras or GPS, allowing continuous operation in diverse environments including classrooms, labs, and outdoor areas. Rich motion data from IMUs supports advanced machine learning models for behavioral analytics, activity classification, and anomaly detection, enhancing educational and safety applications. These sensors have high potential to be integrated into advanced system [26, 27].

2.3 Challenges and Limitations

Despite their capabilities, IMUs face several technical and practical challenges when deployed at scale in smart-university campuses. IMU measurements are susceptible to cumulative errors over time, known as drift, which can degrade position and orientation accuracy without regular recalibration or complementary sensor fusion. Continuous data sampling and wireless communication from wearable IMUs can impact battery life, necessitating energy-efficient designs and data management strategies. High-frequency IMU data streams generate substantial volumes of information, requiring robust data storage, real-time processing, and analytics frameworks. Wearable IMU deployment relies on user acceptance and compliance. Additionally, motion data can be sensitive, raising concerns about privacy and data security. Universities must establish clear policies, anonymize data where possible, and ensure transparency about data use.

2.4 Integration with Campus Systems

To maximize their impact, IMU sensors are often integrated with other campus IoT devices and platforms. Combining IMU data with environmental sensors, camera-based systems, and networked infrastructure allows multi-modal sensing and more comprehensive situational awareness. For instance, fusing IMU motion data with room occupancy information can enhance context-aware learning environments that adapt lighting, audio, or content delivery based on student engagement levels.

3. CAMERA-BASED MOTION DETECTION SYSTEMS

Camera-based motion detection systems [28-30] represent one of the most advanced and versatile technologies for capturing and analyzing movement within smart-university campuses. Unlike traditional binary motion sensors, these systems leverage video imaging combined with sophisticated computer vision algorithms and artificial intelligence (AI) techniques to detect, classify, and interpret a wide range of motion-related events with high spatial and temporal resolution.

At their core, camera-based motion detection systems use optical sensors—usually digital RGB cameras, infrared (IR) cameras, or depth sensors—to capture continuous video streams. The raw visual data is processed using a variety of algorithms to identify moving objects or changes in the scene. Early approaches relied on background subtraction, frame differencing, or optical flow methods to detect motion. However, modern systems increasingly employ deep learning models such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) to improve accuracy, robustness, and contextual understanding.

Infrared and thermal cameras extend capabilities beyond visible light, enabling motion detection in low-light or night-time conditions without requiring additional illumination, which is critical for round-the-clock campus security and safety monitoring.

Depth cameras (e.g., time-of-flight or structured light sensors) provide 3D spatial data, enhancing motion detection accuracy by distinguishing overlapping objects and improving segmentation in cluttered environments like crowded lecture halls or libraries.

3.1 Applications in Smart University Campuses

Camera-based motion detection systems enable a broad spectrum of applications across academic, administrative, and safety domains:

- Security and Access Control: Surveillance cameras integrated with motion detection algorithms can identify unauthorized access, detect suspicious behaviors, and alert security personnel in real-time. Advanced facial recognition and person-identification algorithms can further control access to restricted areas, ensuring campus safety while maintaining smooth movement flow.

- Classroom and Lecture Hall Monitoring: Motion detection combined with AI-based behavior analysis can monitor student attentiveness, detect disruptions, and support automated attendance systems. Video analytics can assess crowd density and seating patterns, helping optimize room utilization and emergency evacuation planning.

- Event Management and Crowd Control: During campus events or emergencies, camera-based systems provide real-time monitoring of crowd movement patterns and density, allowing organizers to implement dynamic crowd control measures, prevent bottlenecks, and ensure safety compliance.

- Facility Management: Motion-triggered camera analytics help automate lighting, heating, and ventilation systems based on occupancy and activity levels, thereby enhancing energy efficiency and occupant comfort.

- Research and Learning Innovation: Visual motion data supports research in human-computer interaction, ergonomics, and behavioral sciences. In fields such as robotics, kinesiology, and digital humanities, camera-based tracking systems enable detailed motion capture for experimental and pedagogical purposes.

3.2 Advantages

Cameras provide rich spatial and temporal information, allowing not only motion detection but also detailed interpretation of activities, gestures, and interactions. Integration with other sensors (e.g., audio, environmental) enriches contextual understanding and supports complex event detection. Networked cameras enable centralized, remote supervision of multiple campus locations, scalable to large areas. In addition, cameras can be strategically placed indoors and outdoors, adapting to diverse lighting and environmental conditions through sensor choice and software calibration.

3.3 Challenges and Considerations

The use of video surveillance raises significant privacy concerns among students, faculty, and visitors. Compliance with data protection regulations such as General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) require transparent data handling policies, consent management, and measures like anonymization or edge processing to minimize personal data exposure. Video streams generate massive amounts of data, demanding robust storage solutions and powerful computing infrastructure for real-time processing, especially when employing AI algorithms. Lighting variability, occlusions, shadows, and reflections can impact detection accuracy. Systems must incorporate adaptive algorithms and sensor fusion to mitigate these effects. High-quality cameras and AI software require considerable investment and ongoing maintenance, including hardware calibration, software updates, and cybersecurity protection.

3.4 Integration in Smart Campus Ecosystem

Camera-based motion detection systems are increasingly integrated with Internet of Things (IoT) platforms and Building Management Systems (BMS) to create responsive and intelligent environments. For example, motion-triggered cameras can feed occupancy data into HVAC control algorithms or security alert systems. AI-powered video analytics can complement data from IMU or ultrasonic sensors, providing layered insights that improve decision-making for campus administrators.

Emerging trends include edge computing architectures where video processing occurs locally on or near the camera device to reduce latency, bandwidth use, and privacy risks. Additionally, federated learning approaches allow models to be trained across distributed campus devices without transferring sensitive video data centrally.

4. COMPARISON

In the evolving landscape of smart university campuses, both IMU (Inertial Measurement Unit) sensors and camera-based motion detection systems play critical roles in enabling intelligent, responsive environments—but they differ significantly in function, deployment, and application scope. IMU sensors, which typically integrate accelerometers, gyroscopes, and sometimes magnetometers, are compact and wearable, making them ideal for capturing individual-level motion data such as student posture, gestures, walking patterns, or physical activity levels. Their ability to function independently of external lighting conditions and their low power consumption make them highly suitable for embedded or mobile applications, such as monitoring student wellness, detecting falls, or supporting interactive learning through gesture-based inputs. Importantly, because IMUs do not collect visual information, they raise minimal privacy concerns, which is an essential consideration in educational environments.

On the other hand, camera-based motion detection systems offer much broader coverage and richer contextual insights by capturing video streams that can be analyzed using computer vision and AI algorithms. These systems are better suited for space-wide applications like classroom occupancy detection, crowd monitoring during events, automated surveillance, and even behavioral analytics in lecture halls. Cameras can detect not only motion but also classify activities, recognize faces, or track patterns across multiple individuals, thereby supporting real-time decisions related to safety, resource utilization, and environmental control as reported in **Table 1**.

However, they come with higher power and processing demands, require adequate lighting or specialized sensors (e.g., IR or thermal) for nighttime monitoring, and present greater ethical and legal challenges regarding privacy, consent, and data storage. While IMU sensors excel in personal, mobile, and energy-efficient applications, camera-based systems are unparalleled in their ability to provide spatial and contextual understanding at scale. The integration of both systems can lead to a comprehensive, layered motion detection infrastructure in smart campuses, combining the unobtrusive, real-time precision of IMUs with the holistic, AI-enhanced surveillance and analytics capabilities of camera-based systems.

Table 1. Comparison of IMU sensors and camera-based motion method

Feature / Criterion	**IMU Sensors**	**Camera-Based Motion Detection**
Sensing Principle	Measures acceleration, angular velocity, and orientation using accelerometers, gyroscopes, and magnetometers	Captures video/image data; uses computer vision and AI to detect and analyze motion
Form Factor	Small, wearable, embedded in devices	Fixed or PTZ (pan-tilt-zoom) cameras, may include IR/depth sensors
Deployment Scope	Personal, individual-level monitoring	Area-wide, environmental-level surveillance
Lighting Requirements	Independent of lighting conditions	May require adequate lighting; IR or thermal cameras used in low-light conditions
Data Type	Numerical motion vectors (e.g., acceleration, orientation)	Visual frames require image/video processing
Power Consumption	Low to moderate (wearable devices)	High (especially with continuous recording and AI processing)
Privacy Concerns	Minimal (non-visual data)	High (visual identity, behavior recording)
Installation & Maintenance	Easy (mobile/wearable)	Moderate to high (wiring, storage, calibration)
Typical Applications	Activity tracking, AR/VR, fall detection, indoor navigation	Security, crowd monitoring, smart classrooms, space utilization
Data Processing	Lightweight; sensor fusion algorithms	Requires advanced computer vision and ML/AI models

5. CONCLUSION

The transformation of traditional university campuses into smart, responsive environments depends heavily on the strategic deployment of sensing technologies. Among these, IMU sensors and camera-based motion detection systems emerge as two of the most impactful tools for monitoring motion and behavior in educational settings. This paper has presented a comprehensive comparison between the two, highlighting their distinct sensing principles, deployment methodologies, advantages, and limitations.

IMU sensors offer compact, low-power, and privacy-preserving solutions for individual-level motion tracking, making them ideal for wearable applications and student-centered services such as activity recognition, fall detection, and real-time engagement monitoring. In contrast, camera-based systems provide a macroscopic view of physical spaces, enabling advanced functions such as occupancy detection, crowd flow analysis, and behavioral pattern recognition through computer vision and AI-driven analytics. However, their use raises significant challenges related to privacy, data security, and system maintenance.

Ultimately, both technologies serve unique and complementary roles within the smart campus ecosystem. When integrated, thoughtfully, the strengths of IMUs for personal mobility tracking and cameras for spatial awareness—institutions can build a multi-layered motion detection framework that enhances learning environments, operational efficiency, and student well-being. Future work should explore sensor fusion strategies that combine IMU data with visual analytics, while addressing ethical considerations and promoting interoperability. Such efforts will be key to designing intelligent, inclusive, and sustainable campuses aligned with the evolving needs of modern education.

6. REFERENCES

[1] Scholz C, Cao H-L, Imrith E, Nima Roshandel, Hamed Firouzipouyaei, Aleksander Burkiewicz, et al. Sensor-enabled safety systems for human-robot collaboration: A review. IEEE Sensors Journal 2024;25:65–88. https://doi.org/10.1109/jsen.2024.3496905

[2] Yang M, Huang W, Chen R, Ma Z. Flexible Electrospun Nanofibers for Tactile Sensing and Integrated System Research. IEEE Sensors Journal 2024:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/jsen.2024.3493072

[3] Li S, Xu J. Multi-Axis Force/Torque Sensor Technologies: Design Principles and Robotic Force Control Applications: A Review. IEEE Sensors Journal 2024:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/jsen.2024.3495507

[4] Hoang ML. Photovoltaic system optimization by new maximum power point tracking (MPPT) models based on analog components under harsh condition. Energy Harvesting and Systems 2019;6:57–67. https://doi.org/10.1515/ehs-2020-0001

[5] Hoang ML, Delmonte N. Digital Twin-Based Real-Time Monitoring System for Safety of Multiple Laptops in Working Environment. IEEE Open Journal of Instrumentation and Measurement 2024;3:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1109/ojim.2024.3502879

[6] Hoang ML. Artificial Intelligence Development in Sensors and Computer Vision for Health Care and Automation Application. Bentham Books; 2024. https://doi.org/10.2174/97898153130551240101

[7] Hoang ML. Unlocking robotic perception: comparison of deep learning methods for simultaneous localization and mapping and visual simultaneous localization and mapping in robot. International Journal of Intelligent Robotics and Applications 2025. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41315-025-00419-5

[8] Li C, Yu L, Fei S. Real-Time 3D Motion Tracking and Reconstruction System Using Camera and IMU Sensors. IEEE Sensors Journal 2019:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/jsen.2019.2907716

[9] Hoang ML. A Comprehensive Review of Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in Wearable IoT Devices. IEEE Access 2025:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2025.3573937

[10] Hoang ML, Matrella G, Ciampolini P. Artificial Intelligence Implementation in Internet of Things Embedded System for Real-Time Person Presence in Bed Detection and Sleep Behaviour Monitor. Electronics 2024;13:2210–0. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13112210

[11] Hoang ML, Matrella G, Ciampolini P. Comparison of Machine Learning Algorithms for Heartbeat Detection Based on Accelerometric Signals Produced by a Smart Bed. Sensors 2024;24:1900–0. https://doi.org/10.3390/s24061900

[12] Marchi MD, Turetta C, Pravadelli G, Bombieri N. Combining 3-D Human Pose Estimation and IMU Sensors for Human Identification and Tracking in Multiperson Environments. IEEE Sensors Letters 2024;8:1–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/lsens.2024.3400614

[13] Hoang ML, Delmonte N, Bonalumi F, Hu M, Miragoli M, Depoorter P, et al. Image Processing System Based on Mesh Technology for Cell Kinematic Measurement. IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (I2MTC) 2024:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/i2mtc60896.2024.10561037

[14] Hoang ML. Object size measurement and camera distance evaluation for electronic components using Fixed-Position camera. Computer Vision Studies 2023. https://doi.org/10.58396/cvs020101

[15] Hoang, M.L.; Matrella, G.; Giannetto, D.; Craparo, P.; Ciampolini, P. Benchmarking Accelerometer and CNN-Based Vision Systems for Sleep Posture Classification in Healthcare Applications. Sensors **2025**, 25, 3816. https://doi.org/10.3390/s25123816

[16] Hoang ML, Nkembi AA, Pham PL. Real-Time Risk Assessment Detection for Weak People by Parallel Training Logical Execution of a Supervised Learning System Based on an IoT Wearable MEMS Accelerometer. Sensors 2023;23:1516. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23031516

[17] Hoang ML, Pietrosanto A. An Effective Method on Vibration Immunity for Inclinometer based on MEMS Accelerometer. 2020 International Semiconductor Conference (CAS) 2020. https://doi.org/10.1109/cas50358.2020.9267997

[18] Hoang ML, Iacono SD, Paciello V, Pietrosanto A. Measurement Optimization for Orientation Tracking Based on No Motion No Integration Technique. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement 2021;70:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1109/tim.2020.3035571

[19] Hoang ML, Pietrosanto A. New Artificial Intelligence Approach to Inclination Measurement based on MEMS Accelerometer. IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence 2021:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/tai.2021.3105494

[20] Hoang ML, Pietrosanto A. Yaw/Heading optimization by Machine learning model based on MEMS magnetometer under harsh conditions. Measurement 2022;193:111013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.111013

[21] Ahmed I, Jeon G, Piccialli F. From Artificial Intelligence to eXplainable Artificial Intelligence in Industry 4.0: A survey on What, How, and Where. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics 2022;18:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/tii.2022.3146552

[22] Hoang ML. Smart Drone Surveillance System Based on AI and on IoT Communication in Case of Intrusion and Fire Accident. Drones 2023;7:694–4. https://doi.org/10.3390/drones7120694

[23] Hoang ML, Pietrosanto A. A New Technique on Vibration Optimization of Industrial Inclinometer for MEMS Accelerometer Without Sensor Fusion. IEEE Access 2021;9:20295–304. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2021.3054825

[24] Hoang ML, Pietrosanto A. Yaw/Heading optimization by drift elimination on MEMS gyroscope. Sensors and Actuators A: Physical 2021;325:112691. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2021.112691

[25] Zikmund A, Janosek M, Ulvr M, Kupec J. Precise Calibration Method for Triaxial Magnetometers Not Requiring Earth's Field Compensation. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement 2015;64:1242–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/tim.2015.2395531

[26] Nkembi AA, Delmonte N, Cova P, Hoang ML. The Design and Dynamic Control of a Unified Power Flow Controller with a Novel Algorithm for Obtaining the Least Harmonic Distortion. Electronics 2024;13:877–7. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13050877

[27] Hoang ML, Delmonte N. K-centroid convergence clustering identification in one-label per type for disease prediction. IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence 2024;13:1149–9. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijai.v13.i1.pp1149-1159

[28] Heinrich A, Geng D, Znamenskiy D, Vink JP, Haan G de . Robust and Sensitive Video Motion Detection for Sleep Analysis. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics 2014;18:790–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/jbhi.2013.2282829

[29] Iddrisu K, Shariff W, Corcoran P, O'Connor N, Lemley J, Little S. Event Camera based Eye Motion Analysis: A survey. IEEE Access 2024:1–1. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3462109

[30] Xu D, Li YF, Shen Y, Tan M. New Pose-Detection Method for Self-Calibrated Cameras Based on Parallel Lines and Its Application in Visual Control System. IEEE Transactions on Systems Man and Cybernetics Part B (Cybernetics) 2006;36:1104–17. https://doi.org/10.1109/tsmcb.2006.874134