International Journal of Science and Engineering Applications
Volume 15-Issue 09, 85 – 87, 2026, ISSN:- 2319 - 7560
DOI: 10.7753/IJSEA1509.1015

Competitive Landscape and Prospects of Battery Electric, Plug-in Hybrid, and Range-Extended Technology Routes: A Comparative Analysis

Jiansi Liu
Zibo Polytechnic University
Zibo, Shandong, China

Abstract:As the global automotive industry accelerates its transition toward electrification and decarbonization, three technical routes have emerged as the dominant transitional and terminal solutions for new energy vehicles: the battery electric vehicle (BEV), the plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV), and the range-extended electric vehicle (EREV). Although all three routes rely on an electric drive architecture, they differ fundamentally in energy source, powertrain topology, dependence on charging infrastructure, and cost structure. This paper systematically compares the technical characteristics of the three routes, analyzes the competitive landscape shaped by market performance, policy orientation, and infrastructure constraints, and discusses their respective development prospects. The analysis suggests that the three routes are not strictly substitutable in the short to medium term; rather, they serve differentiated consumer segments and regional markets. In the long run, BEVs are likely to dominate as battery technology matures and charging infrastructure expands, whereas PHEVs and EREVs will retain strategic value as transitional and scenario-specific solutions, particularly in markets with weak charging networks and extreme climatic conditions.

Keywords:battery electric vehicle; plug-in hybrid electric vehicle; range-extended electric vehicle; electrification; technology route; competitive landscape

1. Introduction

The electrification of road transport has become a global consensus in response to climate change, energy security, and industrial competition. Major automotive markets, including China, Europe, and North America, have introduced stringent emission regulations and generous incentives to accelerate the adoption of new energy vehicles (NEVs)[4]. Within this context, automakers have pursued multiple technical routes, among which the battery electric vehicle, the plug-in hybrid electric vehicle, and the range-extended electric vehicle have attracted the greatest commercial and academic attention.

The choice among these routes is not merely an engineering decision; it reflects profound differences in corporate strategy, consumer preference, policy environment, and energy infrastructure. BEVs achieve full electrification by eliminating the internal combustion engine (ICE) entirely, but they depend heavily on battery cost, energy density, and charging availability. PHEVs retain both an electric drive system and an ICE, offering flexibility yet introducing complexity and cost. EREVs, which are essentially series hybrids in which the ICE functions only as a generator, occupy an intermediate position and have gained remarkable popularity in the Chinese market in recent years[2].

Given the strategic importance of technology-route selection for both manufacturers and policymakers, a rigorous comparative analysis is warranted. This paper aims to (1) clarify the technical distinctions among the three routes, (2) examine the competitive dynamics that have emerged in major markets, and (3) assess their respective prospects under evolving technological and policy conditions.

2. Technical Characteristics of the Three Routes

2.1 Battery Electric Vehicles

A battery electric vehicle is propelled exclusively by one or more electric motors, with energy stored in an onboard battery pack and replenished through external charging. The powertrain is structurally simple, comprising a battery, power electronics, and a motor; there is no engine, transmission, or exhaust aftertreatment system. This simplicity yields several advantages. First, the absence of the ICE eliminates tailpipe emissions entirely, giving BEVs the strongest environmental credentials among the three routes[6]. Second, the mechanical simplicity reduces maintenance requirements and long-term ownership costs. Third, the dedicated electric platform enables superior packaging flexibility, a low center of gravity, and advanced software-defined functions.

However, BEVs also face significant constraints. Their performance is ultimately limited by battery energy density, which remains substantially lower than the energy density of liquid fuels. This imposes weight and cost penalties for long-range models. More critically, the user experience is constrained by charging speed and the availability of charging infrastructure. In regions with sparse charging networks or in extreme cold climates, the practical utility of BEVs diminishes, giving rise to what is widely termed "range anxiety."

2.2 Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles

A plug-in hybrid electric vehicle combines a battery-powered electric drive system with an internal combustion engine that can be mechanically coupled to the wheels. PHEVs can operate in pure electric mode for a limited all-electric range, typically between 50 and 150 kilometers, and switch to hybrid or engine-driven modes when the battery is depleted. This dual-energy architecture provides remarkable flexibility: the vehicle can be charged externally for daily commuting while retaining the long-range capability of a conventional fuel vehicle.

The principal drawback of the PHEV lies in its complexity. The vehicle must integrate two complete power sources, along with a transmission system that manages power coupling, resulting in higher cost, greater weight, and more intricate control logic. Furthermore, the environmental benefit of a PHEV depends heavily on user behavior; if drivers rarely charge the vehicle, it effectively operates as a conventional hybrid, and its real-world fuel consumption may substantially exceed laboratory figures[5].

2.3 Range-Extended Electric Vehicles

A range-extended electric vehicle is, technically, a specific form of series plug-in hybrid. The vehicle is always driven by the electric motor, while a small internal combustion engine—the range extender—acts solely as a generator to charge the battery or supply electricity when the state of charge is low. Unlike a parallel PHEV, the engine in an EREV has no mechanical connection to the wheels and can therefore operate continuously at its most efficient speed, yielding favorable fuel economy in the range-extension mode.

The EREV offers a compelling combination of the BEV driving experience and freedom from range anxiety. Because the vehicle is always electrically driven, it retains the smooth, quiet, and responsive characteristics of an EV. At the same time, the range extender provides an energy buffer that alleviates dependence on charging infrastructure. The main disadvantages are structural: the EREV carries both a battery and an engine, increasing cost and weight, and its highway fuel economy in the engine-driven mode may be inferior to that of a well-designed parallel hybrid.

3. Competitive Landscape Analysis

3.1 Market Performance

The competitive dynamics among the three routes differ markedly across regions. In China, the world's largest NEV market, PHEVs and EREVs have grown at an exceptionally rapid pace since 2021[2]. EREVs, pioneered by domestic manufacturers, have achieved strong sales in the mid-to-large SUV segment by addressing the range-anxiety concerns of family users. PHEVs have similarly gained traction as cost-effective replacements for conventional fuel vehicles. BEVs, while still dominant in absolute terms, have seen their growth rate moderate relative to the hybrid categories, reflecting both market maturation and persistent consumer concerns about charging convenience. Globally, this shift toward diversified electrified powertrains is consistent with the latest market trends documented by international energy authorities[1].

In Europe, the market has historically favored BEVs and conventional hybrids, with PHEVs benefiting from favorable tax treatment in several countries. However, recent regulatory adjustments—such as the phasing out of PHEV incentives in some jurisdictions—have begun to rebalance the market toward BEVs. In North America, BEVs have dominated the premium segment, while PHEVs occupy a smaller niche.

3.2 Policy Environment

Policy plays a decisive role in shaping the competitive landscape. Emission regulations and fuel-consumption standards create pressure on manufacturers to electrify, while purchase subsidies, tax exemptions, and license-plate privileges influence consumer choice. In China, the dual-credit policy and the exemption from purchase tax have underpinned the rapid expansion of all three routes[3]. Notably, policy treatment of PHEVs has evolved: they are increasingly positioned as a transitional technology, and some cities have restricted their access to preferential license policies, while EREVs have, for a period, enjoyed more favorable treatment because of their stronger electric-driving characteristics.

In the European Union, the planned phase-out of new ICE vehicle sales by 2035 has effectively mandated full electrification, favoring BEVs in the long run[4]. Nevertheless, the recognition that charging infrastructure remains inadequate in many member states has kept PHEVs relevant as a pragmatic interim solution. The divergence in policy orientation across regions suggests that no single route will achieve uniform global dominance in the near term.

3.3 Cost and Infrastructure Constraints

Cost structure and infrastructure availability are the two most powerful determinants of competitive advantage. BEV costs are dominated by the battery, which has experienced dramatic price declines over the past decade[1]. As battery costs approach parity with conventional powertrains, the economic case for BEVs strengthens substantially. PHEVs and EREVs, by contrast, carry the cost burden of dual powertrains, which limits their potential for radical cost reduction.

Infrastructure exerts an equally strong influence. In regions with dense charging networks, the BEV advantage is maximized. Conversely, in regions with weak charging coverage, long-distance travel demands, or harsh winters, the PHEV and EREV routes provide tangible practical benefits. This explains why hybrid and range-extended models have flourished in vast markets such as China, where regional disparities in infrastructure remain pronounced.

4. Prospects and Development Trends

The long-term trajectory of the three routes will be determined primarily by advances in battery technology, the pace of charging-infrastructure construction, and the evolution of regulatory frameworks.

Battery innovation is the single most important variable. Solid-state batteries, lithium-iron-phosphate chemistry improvements, and fast-charging technologies have the potential to eliminate the two principal BEV weaknesses—range limitation and charging time[1].If fast charging approaches the convenience of refueling and energy density continues to rise, the rationale for retaining an onboard engine will weaken accordingly. Under this scenario, BEVs would consolidate their position as the terminal technology route, and PHEVs and EREVs would gradually recede.

However, this transition is unlikely to be abrupt. In the medium term, PHEVs and EREVs will continue to serve valuable functions. They provide a lower-risk entry point for consumers who remain unconvinced by pure electric mobility, thereby accelerating the overall electrification of the fleet. They also offer manufacturers a pathway to comply with fuel-consumption regulations while maintaining profitability on high-margin, long-range vehicle segments where BEV costs remain prohibitive. Moreover, in markets with unreliable electricity supply or extreme climatic conditions, the dual-energy architecture retains an intrinsic resilience that BEVs cannot yet match. From a life-cycle perspective, the environmental superiority of BEVs over PHEVs is conditional on charging behavior and the carbon intensity of the electricity grid, a nuance that must inform both technology strategy and policy design[6].

It is also foreseeable that the boundaries among the three routes will blur. As battery capacities increase and engines are downsized, PHEVs will increasingly resemble EREVs in their operating logic, while EREVs will adopt larger batteries and more efficient range extenders. The industry may converge toward a spectrum of electrified powertrains in which the degree of electrification, rather than a rigid categorical distinction, becomes the defining characteristic.

5. Conclusion

This paper has compared the battery electric, plug-in hybrid, and range-extended technology routes in terms of their technical characteristics, competitive dynamics, and development prospects. The analysis reveals that the three routes are not in a simple winner-take-all relationship. Each route possesses distinct strengths and weaknesses that make it suitable for particular consumer segments, regional conditions, and stages of infrastructure development. BEVs represent the ultimate direction of electrification, but their dominance depends on continued progress in battery technology and charging infrastructure. PHEVs offer flexibility and a smooth transition for consumers and manufacturers, yet their complexity and dependence on user behavior limit their long-term environmental credentials. EREVs, as a specialized series-hybrid configuration, bridge the gap between the two, delivering an EV driving experience with reduced infrastructure dependence.

Looking forward, the competitive landscape will be shaped by the interplay of technological innovation, policy orientation, and infrastructure investment. A prudent strategic conclusion for both manufacturers and policymakers is to avoid premature commitment to a single route and instead maintain a diversified portfolio that can adapt to evolving conditions. Only by embracing this pluralism can the automotive industry achieve a smooth, efficient, and socially inclusive transition to sustainable mobility.

6. References

1. International Energy Agency. Global EV Outlook 2024. Paris: IEA, 2024.

2. China Association of Automobile Manufacturers. Statistical Data on New Energy Vehicle Production and Sales. Beijing: CAAM, 2024.

3. Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of the People's Republic of China. Measures for the Parallel Administration of Average Fuel Consumption and New Energy Vehicle Credits for Passenger Vehicle Enterprises. Beijing: MIIT, 2020.

4. European Parliament and Council.Regulation (EU) 2023/851 on CO_2 Emission Standards for Cars and Vans. Brussels: Official Journal of the European Union, 2023.

5. Lipman, T. E., & Delucchi, M. A. "Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Environmental and Economic Trade-offs." Transportation Research Part D, 2010.

6. Chen, K., Zhao, F., Hao, H., & Liu, Z. "A Comparative Assessment of the Life-Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Battery Electric and Plug-in Hybrid Vehicles." Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021.